

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,624	04/12/2007	Akihiko Miyamoto	042269	1499
	7590 07/22/201 I. HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			YOUNG, RACHEL T	
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/578,624	MIYAMOTO, AKIHIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
RACHEL T. YOUNG	3771		

	RACHEL T. YOUNG	3771						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence in deplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) in the appropriate extension fee avea been filled is the date for purposes of obtermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of a fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later han three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, have been experienced by the property of the Office state of the state of the state of the final rejection, even if timely filed, NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
	t prior to the date of Elips a brief	ill not be entered be						
	<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         (a) \( \overline{</li></ol>							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or								
<ul><li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c</li></ul>								
NOTE: New issues include further defining that a								
mouthpiece of the inhaler and an inhalation has be		limitation requires furti	ner consideration					
<u>and/or search.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amandment (I	DTOL 324)					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		Inpliant Amendment (i	- 1 OL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timaly filed emendmen	ot concoling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate,	uniely nieu amenumen	it canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved.</li> </ol>		l be entered and an ex	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.								
Claim(s) rejected. 7-5.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	To the status of the damis after en	nay is below or adden	ou.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
<u>-</u>								
/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771	/RACHEL T YOUNG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771							